

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/788,906	GIROUARD ET AL.
	Examiner JESSICA SARCIONE	Art Unit 3766

All Participants:

Status of Application: 71

(1) JESSICA SARCIONE.

(3) _____.

(2) Janet E. Embretson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 March 2010

Time: afternoon

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *proposed amendments to overcome 112, second paragraph rejections (see attachment).*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, second paragraph rejections and obvious-type DP rejections in view of the amendments filed 10/22/2009

Claims discussed:

1 and 32

Prior art documents discussed:

co-pending application no. 10/890,825

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jessica L. Sarcione/
Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3766

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant and Examiner discussed possible claim amendments to Claims 1 and 32 for use in an Examiner's amendment in order to expedite prosecution of the instant application. However, upon rejoinder of Claim 32 and obvious-type DP rejection presents itself in view of the claims of co-pending application no. 10/890,825. Applicant did not agree with the grounds of rejection and did not wish to file a terminal disclaimer to the co-pending application until a formal Office action had been issued with the obvious-type DP rejection on record. .